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Abstract
We have proposed previously a new type of pattern, the misuse pattern. A misuse pattern describes how a misuse is performed from the point of view of the attacker, what system units it uses and how, provides ways of stopping the attack by enumerating possible security patterns that can be applied for this purpose, and provides forensic information. A catalog of misuse patterns is needed to let designers evaluate their designs with respect to possible threats. Inter-Cloud systems are growing in popularity, and some of them are federated Inter-Clouds, which allow their Service Providers to share resources when needed. We present here a misuse pattern for a generic Denial-of-Service attack for federated Inter-Cloud systems. A Denial-of-Service misuse of this kind tries to disrupt the availability of the Inter-Cloud system by making many resource requests or by interrupting the monitoring of compliance agreements between Consumers and Service Providers.
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Introduction
The vision of computing as a utility, where customers pay only for what they use exists since the 60s [Buy09]; however, this vision has come true just a few years ago with the advent of Cloud Computing. This technology provides clients with various computing services without the need to acquire technological infrastructure and let them pay only for the amount of services they use, freeing them from expensive technology purchases and maintenance. All these problems are delegated to the supplier, who worries about the continuous delivery of services. Cloud Computing caused an increase in demand for such services, because the customer is offered an apparently infinite amount of resources at a low price with a completely outsourced management service. However, this seemingly endless source of computing resources is tied to the Service Provider (SP) size, and there are only a few providers that actually can provide huge amounts of resources. SPs must ensure that there are sufficient resources available to them in case that the demand increases unexpectedly. As an answer to the above problem, federated Cloud Computing emerged, better known under the name of federated Inter-Cloud [Gro12, Ber10, Buy10], and that
refers to the agreement between SPs to share resources in order to increase their computational resources and provide a larger variety of services.

Misuse patterns describe, from the point of view of the attacker, how a type of attack is performed (what units it uses and how), considers the ways of stopping the attack by enumerating possible security patterns that can be applied for this purpose, and describes how to trace the attack once it has happened by appropriate collection and observation of forensics data. It also describes precisely the context where the attack may occur. A catalog of misuse patterns is needed to let designers evaluate their designs with respect to possible threats.

In this paper we present a misuse pattern for a generic Denial-of-Service attack for federated Inter-Cloud systems. A Denial-of-Service misuse of this kind tries to disrupt the availability of the Inter-Cloud system by making many resource requests or by interrupting the monitoring of compliance agreements between Consumers and Service Providers. We have previously developed a federated Inter-Cloud pattern [Enc14], embracing most relevant Inter-Cloud proposals [Ber10, Buy10, Kec12, Gro12]. That pattern will be used in this work.

Denial-of-Service in federated Inter-Cloud

Intent

A DoS attack may activate the request of many resources, which can exhaust the resources of federation thus denying legitimate users the use of these resources; or create a flood of messages for disrupting the monitoring of compliance agreements between Consumers and Service Providers.

Context

In an Inter-Cloud system, resources are shared by multiple Service Providers who belong to a Federation. A Service Provider must belong in advance to the Federation to request resources through the Internet. The requested resource can be at the SaaS, PaaS, or IaaS level. The Inter-Cloud is organized using a centralized topology. A Cloud Exchange is the component where every request and assignment are bound. Requests are not made directly to the Cloud Exchange, but first pass through a broker. The Federation has insufficient (or even none) regulation(s) for accepting new Service Providers or users.

Problem

To perform some types of misuse it is necessary to have an account in one or more Service Providers who belong to the Federation. How can the attacker deny access to others consumers? The attacker could request many resources from the Cloud Exchange (maybe a high amount of one specific service or many of many kinds), exhausting the resources available to the other consumers. Furthermore, it could generate a flood of messages for disrupting the monitoring of agreements compliance between Consumers and Service Providers, making the entire system unable to operate fulfilling the agreements. Finally, it
is possible that one attacker performs many requests from one or multiple Service Providers in order to disrupt the use of services from the rest of the consumers. The Inter-Cloud has already some kind of defense due the fact that it is not possible to make requests directly to the Cloud Exchange; all requests must pass before through a broker.

The solution is affected by the following forces:

- Objectives – Its objectives may be vandalism, political action, or monetary gain.
- Duration – Usually, the longer the service will be unusable, the better for the objectives of the Attacker.
- Untraceability – Since the attack compromises several components and consumers, it would be better if no one knows who is the responsible one.
- Obfuscation – Since the attack compromises several shared resources, all of them would be affected; even if the attacker objective was just one service. If he blocks them all, it could cause consumers and providers confusion (even panic) and will obfuscate its real intentions.

The attack can be performed by taking advantage of the following vulnerabilities:

- Any consumer can open an account in a Service Provider.
- Any consumer can request what he needs to its Service Provider.
- The Service Provider consults automatically the broker to see if it does not have enough amount or the kind of requested resource. The broker will redirect the request to the Cloud Exchange.
- The attacker should be able to receive unlimited resources if needed.
- Most providers put no control over the number of requests that can be done in a certain amount of time.
- Service Providers access the Inter-Cloud only through one broker.
- The broker is responsible of the compliance of the agreements of their associated Service Providers.

**Solution**

A Denial-of-Service (DoS) attack could flood the Inter-Cloud in order to disrupt the monitoring of compliance agreements between Consumers and Service Providers with the objective of making impossible the accurate control of the subscribed services, thus making the Inter-Cloud be a non-regulated system. Also it could perform many requests to the Federation in order to significantly reduce the availability of the resources (resource exhaustion) with the objective of leaving the rest of the Consumers without resources to use.

**Structure**

Figure 1 shows a class diagram of a Cloud Federation. A Service Provider processes requests from Consumers through a Portal. The Service Provider can be assembled in different ways such as an aggregation of clouds, a set of peers, etc. The composite pattern defines a hierarchical structure for the Service Providers that can be used for special services or types of services (more secure or
premium, for example). The Service Provider sends requests to a Cloud Broker. The Cloud Broker implemented internally or externally redirects the requests to the Cloud Exchange component. The Cloud Exchange consults its Catalog (a.k.a. Information Repository) looking for the best match for the request. The Catalog lists several kinds of services. The Cloud Exchange is aware of the conditions of the entire system through status information coming from Cloud Brokers. The Catalog is updated using the information sent by the Cloud Brokers.

![Diagram of a Cloud Federation](image)

**Figure 1: Class diagram of a Cloud Federation**

**Dynamics**

We show here two misuse use cases representing the previous solution, both use cases make use of the federated Inter-Cloud pattern [Enc14]:

- **UC1**: Disrupt the monitoring of compliance agreements (actor: Attacker)
  
  **Summary**: An Attacker floods with messages the Cloud Broker, making very difficult to accurately monitor the services between the Service Provider and the Consumer.
  
  **Actor**: Attacker
  
  **Precondition**: The Cloud Broker monitors the information and compliance agreements compliance of the Service Providers associated to him. The address of the Cloud Broker is public and reachable for anybody.
  
  **Description**:
  
  a) The Attacker floods of messages the Cloud Broker.
  
  b) The Cloud Broker tries to monitor the communication between Service Provider 1 and Service Provider 2.
Alternate flows:
- The **Cloud Broker** sends to the **Cloud Exchange** the monitoring information, but this information is not accurate.

Post condition: The **Cloud Broker** failed to monitor the compliance agreements.

- **UC2**: Resource exhaustion (actor: Attacker) (Figure 2)

  **Summary**: An **Attacker** performs many requests to its **Service Provider** in order to slow down the **Cloud Exchange**, thus delaying the requests from other **Consumers** in the Federation.

  **Actor**: **Attacker**

  **Precondition**: The **Attacker** must have an account in one or more **Service Providers** that belong to the Federation.

  **Description**:
  - a) The **Attacker** performs multiple independent requests to its **Service Provider**
  - b) The **Service Provider** redirects the requests to the **Cloud Exchange**
  - c) The **Cloud Exchange** processes the requests
  - d) The requests take up most resources and requests from other users are denied.

  **Alternate flow**:
  - The requests are accepted and the resources are assigned to the **Attacker**.

  **Post condition**: **Cloud Exchange** or **Catalog** take too long to respond other consumers’ requests, or even might not be able to answer them due to resource exhaustion.

**Figure 2**: Use Case 2, Resource exhaustion
Known uses
Attacks in federated Inter-Cloud environments have not happened yet since the Inter-Cloud is very new and is still under development. However, we should keep in mind the possible vulnerabilities of the system in order to produce more secure designs.

Consequences
This misuse has the following advantages for the attacker:

- **Objectives** – Its vandalism objectives can be reached if the Service Provider allows multiple requests. If the SP provides an API, it is possible for the attacker to automate the attack with a script that can request resources for an arbitrary time. Its political objectives can be reached, for example, if he plans his attack the days before elections (the attacker can launch the attack when he considers it convenient). Its monetary objectives can be reached due the fact that the attacker can launch the attack whenever he wants (same as the previous objective); for example, shut down the federation the day before Christmas.
- **Duration** – The attacker can plan the duration of the attack and even keep attacking when the system is reestablished.
- **Untraceable** – Since anyone can get an account in a Service Provider or launch an attack from an anonymous place the attack might be untraceable.
- **Obfuscation** – Since every shared resource is affected, the real intention of the attacker can be obfuscated making it more difficult to stop or take some particular measure against the attack.

Possible sources of failure include:

- A limit on the amount of resources that can be requested by the consumer or Service Provider (through policies); for example, you cannot ask for more than half of the resources contributed to the federation. Passing through a broker and not directly to the Cloud Exchange can potentially be an advantage for the defender.

Countermeasures
Denial-of-Service in federated Inter-Cloud can be stopped by the following countermeasures:

- Have a policy about the amount of resources that can be requested.
- Filter requests- The Cloud Broker must analyze and filter all requests to determine if they are appropriate in type and quantity.
- Replication- Replication must be part of the design of the Cloud Exchange and Catalog component. Under a DoS attack, the components must stay always online, this also will avoid scaling problems and will improve the fault tolerance.
IDS-Firewall- IDSs and firewalls can ensure that packets with very large sequence numbers and garbage packets are discarded. Again, the IDS pattern is relevant, as well as the Firewall patterns [Sch06b].

- Use of Proxy and Stateful Firewalls [Sch06b], which can look inside the request packets and analyze their contents, as well as the headers, to decide if the information is appropriate or not. These can be implemented within the Cloud Broker.

Forensics

Where can we find evidence of this attack?

- **Cloud Broker** is the first component that should be analyzed, IDS and Firewall patterns can help in the forensic task.
- **Cloud Exchange** must receive request information from the Cloud Broker, every request made must be logged, also every time a SP joins the Federation the Cloud Broker must inform it to the Cloud Exchange.
- **Cloud Exchange** must log all the information about the assignment corresponding to a request.

Related Patterns

- **VoIP misuse patterns** - A VoIP DoS attack is presented in [Pel09] by overwhelming resources in order to disrupt VoIP operations, typically through a flood of messages. This attack is very similar to disruption of the monitoring of agreements compliance presented in this paper (at least the way the attack is performed, but not its direct objectives); that is an attack who interrupts the way the agreements compliance are measured or controlled (not just disrupt the normal operation of the services).
- **Abstract IDS pattern** – it allows monitoring of all traffic as it passes through a network, analysis the traffic to detect possible attacks, and trigger an appropriate response. The Signature-Based IDS pattern and the Behavior-Based IDS pattern are other two possible concrete versions
- **Firewall patterns like Packet Filter Firewall and Proxy-Based Firewall** [Sch06b].
- **Federated Inter-Cloud pattern** [Enc14] – solves the problem of resource exhaustion, or the lack of specific services that can affect at one Service Provider. The Service Providers form a federation in order to share capabilities. Under a DoS attack the resource exhaustion affect the entire Federation.
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