

Learning Patterns for Group Assignments - Part 1

Christian Köppe, Hogeschool Utrecht
Institute for Information & Communication Technology
christian.koppe@hu.nl

Educational Patterns are a good way to capture proven practices in education. But most documented patterns are focussing on the teachers, and not the learners. In this work we present the first part of learning patterns for students, mined by students themselves, which they can apply during group assignments.

Categories and Subject Descriptors: K.3.2 [**Computers and Education**]: Computer and Information Science Education —*Computer science education*

General Terms: Design, Languages, Education

Additional Key Words and Phrases: Educational Patterns, Design Patterns, Competencies

ACM Reference Format:

Köppe, C. 2012. Learning Patterns for Group Assignments - Part 1. – L(October 2012), 13 pages.

1. INTRODUCTION

Patterns are an often applied and well known method of capturing best practices in a reusable way. Many patterns are collected and widely applied in differing domains as architecture, software design and architecture, and also pedagogy. The latter was mainly influenced by the work of the Pedagogical Patterns Project [Bergin et al. 2011a; 2011b; Bergin et al. 2011; Bergin et al. 2011; Bergin et al. 2004]. Even though the pedagogical patterns focus on the learners, their target audience actually are teachers only, and not the learners. But in the end, the learner is the most important person in education and the teacher is mainly a facilitator of her or his learning. Even though the pedagogical patterns are of high value, the aspect of what *learners* can do to improve their own learning is not sufficiently reflected by them. We see the need for patterns targeted specifically at learners, as they are necessary in a more holistic view of education.

Takashi Iba and colleagues started to describe Learning Patterns (e.g. in [Iba et al. 2009; Iba and Sakamoto 2011]). These patterns help students to improve their own learning and provide them with ways to influence their own study success. However, the set of patterns described by Takashi Iba et al. is only a subset of relevant learning patterns and not focussed *as a whole* on specific problem areas, which broadens the scope tremendously and therefore makes these patterns less applicable for students with specific problems.

With this work we want to start the collection of learning patterns in a certain domain, namely group assignments given to students during their study. We are collecting a pattern language which:

- is based on the experience of older students who already took part in a larger number of group assignments,
- can be given to beginning students in a consolidated and understandable form, and
- is intended to help students during their first group assignments.

In the next section we will describe the context and background of these patterns. Then we present the first identified patterns, followed by a list of all identified pattern candidates in the form of patlets.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission. A preliminary version of this paper was presented in a writers' workshop at the 19th Conference on Pattern Languages of Programs (PLoP). PLoP'12, October 19-21, Tucson, Arizona, USA. Copyright 2012 is held by the author(s). ACM xxx

2. CONTEXT AND BACKGROUND

In nearly all studies students are working on group assignments which last for a longer period — from a few weeks up to a few months. These assignments are usually given to smaller groups of 3-5 students, but also assignments for student pairs are possible and in some cases also larger groups of 6 or more students are formed. These groups do not necessarily work at the same place the whole time, but most often meet occasionally, either in person or virtually via the internet (using chats, messaging services etc.). However, independent of the groups' size, duration, and physical closeness of the group, there is a chance that problems and conflicts occur during the execution of these assignments. Some of these problems are general teamwork problems, but some are specific to the educational environment.

If students are required to work on a couple of these group assignments with changing group compositions during their study, then they will start to discover ways of sufficiently handling the conflicts and solving the problems — they unconsciously apply *patterns*. This knowledge is valuable especially for beginning students as it helps them to avoid the pitfalls which lead to the problems and conflicts. Making this knowledge available to them therefore would be of benefit for their learning.

One way of providing the students with this knowledge could be in the form of a book, which was successfully done by Takashi Iba and his group at the Keio University Tokyo [Iba et al. 2009]. They used *Learning Patterns* for preserving the knowledge of experienced learners and published these patterns in a book which was given to beginning students. This helped the students with learning how to learn.

One important aspect is that beginning students are especially interested in the experience of older students. We therefore let a group of older students mine these patterns. In general pattern mining of learning patterns serves two goals: (1) identifying sets of learning pattern candidates and (2) working on the professional competencies as defined in the Dublin Descriptors [Joint Quality Initiative informal group 2004]. The results of the first goal are presented in this work, a discussion and evaluation of the second goal is given in [Köppe 2012].

We decided to use a workshop as start for the mining of the learning patterns for group assignments, this workshop is described in detail in [Köppe 2012]. Workshops are a common way for mining patterns [Kohls 2012], and they make use of induction as information gathering method. The workshop lasted 3 hours and 25 third-year undergraduate students of Software Engineering took part in it. After the workshop the findings were summarized by the author. It helps if students are already familiar with the concept of patterns. Computer Science or Software Engineering students for example probably have been taught software design and/or architecture patterns. If this is done in an appropriate way — e.g. by applying the pattern language for teaching design patterns [Köppe 2011b; 2011a] — then the students are familiar with the different parts of patterns and their meaning. They might also have applied pattern mining for a technical design pattern if DISCOVER YOUR OWN PATTERN [Köppe 2011a] has been applied.

The results of the workshop were chosen as first set of proposed patterns for this pattern language. We consciously chose to describe the patterns mentioned most often by the students first, as these seemed to be the most important problems to them. This additionally gave the participating the feeling that their experience really has been valued and that they implicitly decided which patterns should be documented first. We intend to make these workshops a regular part of our curriculum and will continue to work on these patterns, so more parts will follow. We therefore also documented all other pattern candidates in the form of patlets so that they are available for future workshops.

Many techniques are already described in the literature on team work. However, with this language we intend to create an easy-to-use help for beginning students which is based on the experience of advanced students — preferably in the second half of their study — and therefore have a higher chance of reliability than prescribed study books. It is therefore no wonder that some if not most of the patterns of this language are well known. In these cases we try to provide links to additional study material.

We decided to use a special pattern format depending on the target audience: for the beginning students only the first standard parts will be published, but for the PLoP-version another section will be added to the patterns containing the rationale behind them and, where available, references to background literature and published patterns/related work.

Over a longer period we try to cover all relevant aspects of group assignments which will finally lead to a pattern language. This also includes identifying the relations between the different patterns and also categories (of problems) which can be used for a quick access guide.

3. FUTURE WORK

As stated earlier, this is the first part of an ongoing project. In the future we intend to also work on following aspects:

- Find categories or tags for these patterns, e.g. group size, assignment duration, physical presence etc.
- Make language map to show relations between patterns.
- Identify and describe more patterns.

It is also our intention to involve students more actively in the further realization of these patterns as part of an honours track at our university.

4. THE PATTERNS

The patterns apply to group assignments that take place over a period of time where students are not necessarily all in the same place. Some patterns are applicable for all sorts of group assignments, some are only applicable in certain contexts. This will be reflected in categories, to be determined in future work, and of course in the contexts of the patterns.

The patterns use a version of the Alexandrian pattern format, as described in [Alexander et al. 1977]. The first part of each pattern is a short description of the context, followed by three diamonds. In the second part, the problem (in bold) and the forces are described, followed by another three diamonds. The third part offers the solution (again in bold) and consequences of the pattern application — which are part of the resulting context — and advices for the implementation of the pattern. As last part — again separated by three diamonds — comes the rationale for the pattern. This part won't be published in the book we will give to the students.

PATTERN: ASK WHEN UNCERTAIN

A group assignment has been given to you and some fellow students, including a description of what has to be done and what is expected from you.



Some — or all — parts of the assignment description are vague and not understandable. Starting the assignment under these circumstances can lead to a wrong start of the work and also lead to time loss if things are done the wrong way.

Teacher Specifics. Each teacher has her or his own way of describing and giving assignments. What seems to be clear for the teacher, does not necessarily have to for the student too.

Student Interpretation. Sometimes students have different interpretations for the same description. They don't agree on what the teacher is asking for. It is also the case you can start working on an assignment, and only when you get into it do things become unclear or open to multiple interpretations.

Incomplete Instructions. Teachers are humans too! It therefore is possible that they forgot a part in the assignment description which is important for the students to know.

Inconsistent Instructions. Even though the instructions are complete, it might be that parts of the instructions are inconsistent or conflicting.



Therefore: Summarize what is clear about the assignment and what is not. Check this with all team members. If parts stay — or become — unclear, ask the teacher for clarification.

It is always better to ask than to just assume whenever there is uncertainty. After all assignment parts are clear to you, you should be able to DELIVER HIGH QUALITY PRODUCTS using a good PRODUCT MANAGEMENT. Perhaps you have to FILL KNOWLEDGE GAPS of some group members.

In some cases it is not possible to clarify all assignment aspects at one time. In that case start with clarifying these aspects first which you need for being able to start with the assignment.

PATTERN: SHARE EXPECTATIONS

You have been assigned to a group to work together with on an assignment.



Students might have different expectations of the results and the way of working on the assignment. This often leads to conflicts or an inefficient way of working.

Different Priorities. The priorities students assign to school in general and to specific courses and assignments can differ, depending e.g. on their interests.

Different Goals. Students might have different goals: some want a good grade, some want to learn a lot, and some just want to pass the course.

Assuming Similarity. If we don't know the goals or priorities of fellow students, we tend to assume that they are identical to ours.

Teacher Selects Teams. Sometimes the teacher determines which students will have to work together on one assignment. As this might be a new group constellation, it is also possible that the students do not know each other's goals and priorities.



Therefore: Reflect on the expectations of all group members, align them and agree on a common set.

Your own goals and priorities influence what you expect from others, but this isn't necessarily true. It is therefore always good to reflect on the expectations of every team member, especially in the beginning of the assignment but also on a regular basis during the assignment. The result will be a common set of expectations, like e.g. we all just want to pass the course or we all go for the highest grade. If it shows that the goals or priorities differ, then different roles could be assigned to different team members. In some cases it might also be required to FILL KNOWLEDGE GAPS) or to ASK FOR INDIVIDUAL GRADING.

If you already know the other team members it still is a good idea to reflect on their expectations and your own, as goals and priorities might change over time.

Even if all members have the same expectations and goals, it can happen that some members do not fulfil them. If this is detected, e.g. during a regular check, you should GIVE A FIRST WARNING to these members.

PATTERN: GIVE A FIRST WARNING

All members of the group SHARE EXPECTATIONS of the assignment and started working it.



Some group members are not fulfilling the expectations and goals agreed on earlier which leads to an unbalanced participation in the group and endangers a good assignment completion.

Insufficient Participation. Group members start to come late for group appointments or do not show up at all or do not deliver their work products as agreed or do not execute their agreed on tasks at all.

Team Grading. In group assignments, often the whole group is graded. If one of the group members does not fulfill the assigned tasks, then the whole group will get a lower grade. Because of this often students have to “cover” and fill in what others who do not meet their commitments do not do. This can cause much hard work and discomfort to other team members in order to not endanger their own grade which is based on the team grade.



Therefore: Confront the group member with his or her behavior and make the consequences clear if this does not improve.

Without a confrontation the unwanted behavior will probably not change! But it is important that this confrontation is done with a constructive attitude: the goal is that the team member starts to fulfill all assigned tasks sufficiently. It is therefore also the first step to ask the member *why* he or she is not working as expected. If personal problems are the reason, then it should be discussed how much impact these have on the fulfillment of the assignment tasks. It might be a sufficient solution to SPREAD TASKS APPROPRIATELY again, but if the problems are too big the teacher should become involved.

If no relevant problems are the reasons, then the team member should be reminded of his or her commitments and asked to fulfill them. In some cases the pure fact of asking nicely if someone would start working as expected does not lead to a change in behavior. It is therefore helpful if the consequences of not changing the behavior are communicated as well.

Possible consequences are:

- feedback to the teacher about the members’ behavior, which could lead e.g. to the exclusion of the member from the team grading and apart grading of the members’ assignments.
- as last consequence: exclusion of the member from the team.

PATTERN: FILL KNOWLEDGE GAPS

The group assignment requires a certain level of knowledge from all group members so that they can fulfill their assigned tasks. This knowledge might be taught in additional courses or expected to be present. The group already did SHARE EXPECTATIONS.



One or members of the group do not have sufficient knowledge needed for working on the tasks assigned to the them.

Different Knowledge Levels. As the background from the students differs, it is possible that some students have more knowledge on the assignment's subject than other group members.

Motivation. As the knowledge of the subject often is created in other courses, this knowledge is also dependent on the motivation of the students regarding these other courses. If students are not motivated to gather this knowledge in the other course, they miss this knowledge in the group assignment too, even if their motivation there is higher.

Missing Opportunity. The design of a curriculum can sometimes lead to situations where students can follow courses and group assignments even if they haven't passed courses which form the basis for the course at hand. If this is the case, then it's hard for students to already have the required knowledge present.

Individual Strengths. Different persons have different strengths, either because of different experiences or different interests. Even if the knowledge and skills of some required techniques are present, their level might be diverging between different students.



Therefore: Support the group members and help them with acquiring the missing knowledge. Let them in the beginning of the assignment execute smaller tasks of the assignment which match their current knowledge status until they grasped all required knowledge.

The knowledge of the group members with insufficient knowledge will increase, at least to an amount which is enough for being a valuable member of the group. However, if the members in question are not showing enough commitment, require an ACTIVE PARTICIPATION from them.

Make sure that it is clear where the knowledge gaps, otherwise the first step is to identify these. After it is clear which gaps to fill, there are several ways of helping other team members to do so:

- If none of the group members has sufficient knowledge, have one member taking the task of acquiring the knowledge — e.g. by doing some research — and then present it to the whole group.
- If at least one member has the knowledge, then share this knowledge by pairing the inexperienced with the experienced team members and have the *apprentice* learn from the *master*. Pair programming is a good example of how this is realized in software engineering.

Applying this pattern may cost extra time if assistance by members with sufficient knowledge is required. This can lead to general time problems, so make sure you still can DELIVER HIGH QUALITY PRODUCTS.

PATTERN: DELIVER HIGH QUALITY PRODUCTS

You have an assignment with concrete work products to be delivered and a defined deadline. You also did SHARE EXPECTATIONS.



If the work product/s delivered on the date of the deadline are incomplete or not of sufficient quality regarding the defined requirements, then the grading of these products will be low.

Deadline. The deadline — or the deadlines, if the assignment makes use of more than one delivery moment — mostly is fixed and defined at the beginning of the assignment, it can not be changed or moved.

Unexpected Progress. The complexity of some assignment tasks becomes only obvious during their execution, this often results in delays of activities and therefore an unexpected assignment progress.

Vague Assignment Description. Sometimes the criteria for an assignment are not clear to the students in the beginning. There is a chance that if they become clearer during the assignment that adjustments have to be made and already done work was unnecessary, which results in wasted time.

Workproduct and Task Dependencies. It often is the case that there are dependencies between different work products, meaning that e.g. working on one product can just begin after the other product has been finished or is in a mature state. It is also possible that in some cases the quality of one product does not matter for the dependent product, but in other cases the quality can impact the ability to complete another follow on task.

Incorrect Planning. In some cases the real workload needed for realizing one part of the assignment is unpredictable, especially when new techniques have to be applied for the assignment. This makes a correct planning difficult.



Therefore: Start working on the assignment immediately and keep track of the progress of the assignment. Adjust the planning so that the most important assignment parts can be finished on time and in sufficient quality. Distribute the tasks evenly. Make the planning so that you have sufficient time to check the quality of the deliverables before delivery.

Applying this pattern costs more time, as it requires a continuous registration of the finished parts and the parts still to be worked on. It also requires that the quality checks have to be described in advance and that these checks have to be executed as early as possible during the project. Integration of finished parts as well as on-time preparation of additional deliverables, for example, presentations, documentation, a paper, or project reports, are also essential ingredients of the solution. Taking these aspects into account will lead to delivered work products of much higher quality.



As teachers, we can help especially beginning students with ensuring CONTINUOUS ACTIVITY [Köppe 2011c] during their assignment. This helps them determining which products the most important are and where they should put the focus on. It also encourages them by offering them something of value — either grades or feedback.

PATTERN: MANAGE WORK PRODUCTS

Working in a group on an assignment which includes work products often requires the exchange of these work products between group members. You already started to MANAGE THE PROJECT and did SPREAD TASKS APPROPRIATELY.



If the work products are not available in the current versions to all concerned students, inconsistencies and incompleteness can occur as students might work on different versions which later have to be merged.

Unavailability. It is possible that a group member does not have access to all products which she or he needs.

Version Conflicts. If different team members work simultaneously on the same work products, then the chance of conflicting versions is high. Solving these conflicts costs extra time.



Therefore: Make all work products available via one centralized place and keep the work products up-to-date.

If this central place is available to all group members, then all members have access to all work products too. By keeping the work products up-to-date and working with the current versions only minimizes the chance of version conflicts.

Examples of systems which you can use for managing your work products are Dropbox, SVN, or GIT.

5. IDENTIFIED PATLETS

The patlets are documented by first stating the name, followed by the problem statement and, separated by three diamonds, the solution statement. They were mainly left as described by the students, but where necessary translated from Dutch into English.

PATLET: RESOLVE CONFLICTS

There is a clash between group members, which has negative impact on the motivation and participation.



Therefore: Identify the cause of the clash together with the concerned group members — and maybe some outside person like the teacher — and look for a solution where both parties can live with.

PATLET: SPREAD TASKS APPROPRIATELY

One person takes too many responsibilities and tasks, which is a bottleneck for the success of the whole group and leaves others with less chances to apply their knowledge or learn new things.



Therefore: Spread all responsibilities and tasks as appropriately as possible between all group members, take their knowledge levels and other constraints into account.

PATLET: SUPPORT THE LEADER

The group leader — either chosen by the group or assigned by the teacher — does not function as expected and the management of the group is insufficient.



Therefore: Address the issue by the group leader and start to support him or her if necessary. If this does not work, reassign the role of group leader to another member which is chosen by the whole group.

PATLET: KEEP TEAM SPIRIT

The end of the assignment is in sight, but the motivation and inspiration starts to decrease.



Therefore: Don't work too long and make regularly breaks. Finish the assignment together as group with something nice you can look forward to, like going out for a beer.

PATLET: FOCUS THE DISCUSSION

Discussions over certain problems take too long and do not lead to necessary conclusions, which hinders the on-time delivery of qualitative sufficiently products.



Therefore: Stay inside the scope of the problem, write down the results and regularly check the relevance of the discussion.

PATLET: IMPROVE THE PRESENTATION

Often a group assignment is finished with a final presentation, which also counts for the grade. If this presentation — or parts of it — are given by someone which is not good in presenting, the grade for the whole group could be influenced negatively.



Therefore: Let group members which are not that good with presentations practice it or provide them with a proven presentation process.

PATLET: FOCUS ON SERIOUSNESS

Working in a group can be fun and the atmosphere is often informal. But if it only stays informal then there is a chance that the members do not sufficiently work on the assignment.



Therefore: Make sure that it is clear for all group members that things have to be taken seriously in order to make things happen.

PATLET: PLAN EXTERNAL WORKTIME

Some team members might have a job beside their study, and working on the group assignment and the job simultaneously is distracting. Such a group member is of less value for the whole group.



Therefore: Plan breaks on a regular basis which offer the group member to focus on the job outside of the group assignment. During the rest of the time the group member can focus on the inside of the group assignment.

PATLET: NOMINATE ONE COMMUNICATOR

Sometimes different groups have to communicate during a project. If everybody communicates with everybody then there is a high chance of inefficient and vague communication, which hinders all groups in their work.



Therefore: Determine fixed communication moments and give one group member the responsibility for communicating with the other teams.

PATLET: GIVE CONSTRUCTIVE FEEDBACK

If things go wrong, but are not addressed in the group. then the chance that things improve is small. But giving and receiving feedback is difficult and group members are possibly afraid of giving feedback or do not handle it in a good way.



Therefore: Give feedback in a constructive way, ideally in the form of suggestions for improvement. Do not immediately start to defend yourself if you are criticized, but try to be open for the feedback and suggestions for improvement.

PATLET: KEEP MOTIVATED

Sometimes the motivation can decrease during the execution of a group assignment. This often leads to insufficient participation and bad quality deliverables.



Therefore: Try to get tasks assigned which you are interested in or switch (temporarily) to another role in the group. If the assignment is going to end soon, KEEP TEAM SPIRIT by plan something you can look forward to like going out for a drink.

PATLET: OWN YOUR WORK

If work products are publicly available to all groups, then there is a chance of plagiarism. This often results in not passing the assignment for both involved parties.



Therefore: If you encounter that someone copied (parts of) your work products, immediately inform the teacher and confront the other party with the fact.

PATLET: ELIMINATE BOTTLENECKS

An assigned task is too big or too complex for the assigned members, they are not able to finish it on time and/or in sufficient quality.



Therefore: Reassign the tasks so that everyone in the group can work and that the project is progressing smoothly.

PATLET: MANAGE THE PROJECT

Without knowing who is working on what tasks and work products and what the status is of these it is hard to judge if everything is progressing as planned and the project can be finished successfully.



Therefore: Manage your project by keeping track of who is assigned to what tasks and work products, and what the status of these is. Come in action if things are not progressing as planned.

ASK FOR INDIVIDUAL GRADING

Some members of the group did not contribute as much to the group results as other team members, but this is not reflected in the groups' grade.



Therefore: ensure that the different degrees of contribution are taken into account by asking for (at least partially) individual grading.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First I want to thank all students which took part in the pattern mining workshop (in alphabetical order): René van Aerle, Erik Blanken, Tom de Bruijn, Asim Asim Ijaz Butt, Stefan Collette, Maarten van Diemen, Rens Groenveld, Martin van Haeften, Henk ter Harmsel, Jorik Kraaikamp, Tim Linschoten, Algin Maduro, Tim Muller, Niels Nijveldt, Heijmen de Raad, Bob Sanders, Thomas Schmidt, Alex Schouls, Johan van der Slikke, Guido van Tricht, Erik Verhoef, Thijmen Verkerk, Mittchel van Vliet, Dennis van den Waardenburg, and Patrick van der Willik.

Big thanks also go to my PLoP'12 shepherd Rebecca Wirfs-Brock for her insightful feedback.

REFERENCES

ALEXANDER, C., ISHIKAWA, S., AND SILVERSTEIN, M. 1977. *A Pattern Language: Towns, Buildings, Construction (Center for Environmental Structure Series)*. Oxford University Press.

BERGIN, J., ECKSTEIN, J., MANNS, M. L., AND SHARP, H. 2011a. Feedback Patterns. <http://www.pedagogicalpatterns.org/>.

- BERGIN, J., ECKSTEIN, J., MANNS, M. L., AND SHARP, H. 2011b. Patterns for Active Learning. <http://www.pedagogicalpatterns.org/>.
- BERGIN, J., ECKSTEIN, J., MANNS, M. L., SHARP, H., AND SIPOS, M. 2011. Teaching from Different Perspectives. <http://www.pedagogicalpatterns.org/>.
- BERGIN, J., ECKSTEIN, J., MANNS, M. L., AND WALLINGFORD, E. 2011. Patterns for Gaining Different Perspectives. <http://www.pedagogicalpatterns.org/>.
- BERGIN, J., MARQUARDT, K., MANNS, M. L., ECKSTEIN, J., SHARP, H., AND WALLINGFORD, E. 2004. Patterns for Experiential Learning. *Learning* Nov 25, 2002, 477.
- IBA, T., MIYAKE, T., NARUSE, M., AND YOTSUMOTO, N. 2009. Learning Patterns: A Pattern Language for Active Learners. In *Proceedings of the 16th Conference on Pattern Languages of Programs, PLoP'09*. Chicago, IL, USA.
- IBA, T. AND SAKAMOTO, M. 2011. Learning Patterns III - A Pattern Language for Creative Learning. In *to be published in Proceedings of the 18th Conference on Pattern Languages of Programs, PLoP'11*. Portland, OR, USA.
- JOINT QUALITY INITIATIVE INFORMAL GROUP. 2004. Shared 'Dublin' descriptors for short cycle, first cycle, second cycle and third cycle awards. *Draft 1, Working Document on JQI Meeting in Dublin*.
- KOHL, C. 2012. *The Theories of Design Patterns and their Practical Implications exemplified for Educational Patterns, to be published*.
- KÖPPE, C. 2011a. A Pattern Language for Teaching Design Patterns (Part 1). In *Proceedings of the 16th European conference on pattern languages of programs, EuroPLoP'11, to be published*. Vol. 2011. ACM, Irsee, Germany.
- KÖPPE, C. 2011b. A Pattern Language for Teaching Design Patterns (Part 2). In *Proceedings of the 18th Conference on Pattern Languages of Programs, PLoP'11, to be published*. Vol. 2011. ACM, Portland, OR, USA.
- KÖPPE, C. 2011c. Continuous Activity - A Pedagogical Pattern for Active Learning. In *Proceedings of the 16th European conference on pattern languages of programs, EuroPLoP, to be published*. Vol. 2011. ACM.
- KÖPPE, C. 2012. Using Pattern Mining for Competency-Focused Education. In *Preprints of the Computer Science Education Research Conference, CSERC 2012*. ACM, Wroclaw, Poland.